**Mock House of Commons Activity Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Components | Not Meeting Expectations (0%-50%) | Approaching Expectations (50%-65%) | Meeting Expectations (66%-90%) | Exceeding Expectations (90%-100%) |
| Bill | Significant numbers of components are **missing** or flawed; insufficient, **irrelevant**, **inaccurate**, or **incomplete** **information** | Some components are missing or poorly developed; Simple or **basic** **information**; Valid but with **little** **depth** or elaboration; Limited critical  thinking evident | Required components are **complete**, appropriate, and developed; covers topic effectively; **critical** **thinking** evident; demonstrates **exploration** of the topics | All required components are **complete**, **effective**, and **add** **value** to the final product; **In**-**depth** with **details** and **examples**; demonstrates **abstract** **thinking**; Develops **complex** **concepts** |
| Committee Participation | **Little to no participation** in committee discussion;  Revision notes contain a significant number of components **missing** or **flawed**;  Comments and proposed amendments are **insufficient**, **irrelevant**, or **inaccurate** | **Made attempt to engage** in committee discussion;    Revision notes **miss** some **components** or are **poorly** **developed**;  Comments and proposed amendments are valid but reflect **little depth** or **elaboration** | Conducted themselves in **professional** **manner** and were an  **engaged** and **active** participant in  committee;  Revision notes reflect **critical** **thinking**  Proposed amendments to bills | Conducted themselves in **professional** **manner** and effectively **executing** **parliamentary** **procedures**; **continuously** **contributed** to committee  Revision notes reflect **abstract** thinking and **critical** **reflection**;  Proposed amendments that were  implemented in bills |
| Floor Debate Participation | **Little** **to no participation** in debate;    Comments made during debate are  **irrelevant** or **inaccurate** | **Made attempt to engage** in debate;    Comments made during debate are valid but reflect **little** **depth** or **elaboration** | Conducted themselves in **professional** **manner** and were an  **engaged** and **active** participant in  debate;  Effectively provided **justification** for or against a bill; | Conducted themselves in  **professional manner** and effectively **executing parliamentary procedures**;  **Continuously contributed** and provide **depth** to debate;  **Effectively discussed** or **proposed** bill |